

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41161 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-73 Year-2026 Thana- RAJNAGAR District- Madhubani

Ravi Kumar, son of Late Dilip Sah, R/o village -Khutauna Bazar P.S.-
Khutauna, District -Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Gagan Deo Yadav, Advocate Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate Mr. Rohit Kant Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Rajesh Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 31-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Raj Nagar P.S. Case No. 73 of 2026, for the offences punishable
under Sections 316(2), 318(2) of the B.N.S.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the petitioner
who happens to be the employee of CMS INFO SYSTEMS
Ltd., and his role is to collect cash from various companies and
deposit those funds into banks and ATMs. It is further alleged
that the petitioner, although, collected money from Kaushik
Delivery Ltd in the Raj Nagar area and embezzled those funds
instead of depositing them into the bank. It is further alleged
that the petitioner used to sent an associate to collect the money,
which was later on transferred to the petitioner by the online



payments. It is further alleged that initially, the informant, informed the petitioner and his family about the discrepancy and requested them to return the money but till date nothing has been returned.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 09.03.2026 and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that charge-sheet has been filed.

5. The learned counsel for the informant submits that the petitioner was supposed to deposit the collected money but the petitioner has personally utilized the same. She further submits that in spite of all efforts, when the petitioner failed to deposit those money into the account of the concerned company, the present FIR was lodged. She further submits that till date no amount has been returned and it is a case of fraud and cheating. The counsel also submits that the petitioner was an employee of the aforesaid company when the entire transaction took place.

6. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

7. Having heard the parties, I am of the considered view that the charge-sheet has been filed and the petitioner is in custody since 09.03.2026, let the above named petitioner be



released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the likewise amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Madhubani, in connection with Raj Nagar P.S. Case No. 73 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be the petitioner's own or close member.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed during the trial and also co-operate in the process of trial.

(III) The petitioner shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence/intimidate any witness during the course of trial.

(IV) The Petitioner shall not commit offence of a similar nature in future.

8. In case of violation of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the regular bail application stands allowed.

(Alok Kumar, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

