

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40634 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-15 Year-2026 Thana- Kharagpur District- Munger

Barun Kumar Sah @ Raghvendra Kumar Sah S/o Bajrang Lal Sah Resident
of Village / Mohalla - West Ajimganj, P.S - Kharagpur, District - Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kumar Kamal Nayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Yogendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4),
126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 351(2), 351(3), 352, 3(5) of the
BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that on 09.01.2026 at 09:00PM Shyam and Barun
(petitioner) came to his house in a drunken condition and started
abusing, on objection, Shyam assaulted his brother Jay Shankar
by Khanti causing injury on head and leg while Barun assaulted
him by lathi causing injury on head and fled threatening not to
institute a case.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that though it is alleged that petitioner came in a drunken condition, but then the case is not instituted under the Excise Act, it is fairly submitted that injury suffered by Jay Shankar has been opined to be grievous, but then allegation of assaulting Jay Shankar is against Shyam, it is next submitted that as far as petitioner is concerned he is alleged to have assaulted the informant by lathi causing injury on head and the blow is not alleged to be repeated and the injury has been opined to be simple in nature, as would manifest from the pleading made at Para 10 of the anticipatory bail application.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner, the above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection



with Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 15 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

