

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41977 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-781 Year-2024 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE - BARH District-  
Patna

=====

Vicky Kumar, Son of Karamchand Thakur @ Karmchand Thakur, Resident of  
Village- Chilauri, Misar Bigha, P.S.- Makdumpur Tehta, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Madhuri Kumari Wife of Santosh Kumar Singh Resident of Village-  
Mamarkhabad, P.S.- Pandarak, District- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Sudha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satya Nand Shukla, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      29-06-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case  
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 406 and  
120(B) of the Indian Penal Code corresponding to Sections 318,  
316 and 61(2) of the B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the  
brother of the complainant has taken huge sum from her for  
securing job in Class-IV category in Civil Court.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner  
has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no  
offence. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the  
name of this petitioner has been dragged in this case as on his



phone-pay Rs. 49,000/- twice were sent by the complainant. It has further been submitted that he has received those amount on the instruction of the brother of the complainant i.e. Ravi Ranajn Kumar who is accused no.1 in this complaint and has returned the same to Ravi Ranjan Kumar. The main thrust of allegation is against Ravi Ranjan Kumar. Moreover, this case is based on complaint petition. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 19.03.2026.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned JMFC, Barh, Patna in connection with Compliant Case No. 781(C) of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

