

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42484 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-202 Year-2026 Thana- RAJGIR District- Nalanda

Vikash Singh S/o Late Vinay Singh Parmar @ vinay kumar singh Resident of-
ET/176, Ordinance Factory, Rajgir, P.S.- Rajgir, District- Nalanda, Permanent
Resident of Village Karamdih, P.S.- Amas, District Gaya Ji

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Priya Ranjan, Advocate
For the State : Mr.Rina Sinha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 02-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Rajgir
P.S. Case No. 202 of 2026 registered for the offence punishable
under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352 and 50 of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is of assaulting
the victim causing injury.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this
case. The petitioner is in custody since 21.03.2026 having clean
antecedent.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the



prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. The petitioner is a Government servant and is accused of assaulting the victim causing injury, which appears to be simple in nature.

7. Considering the aforesaid and the fact that the injury caused to the victim is simple in nature, this application is allowed.

8. Accordingly, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Biharsharif (Nalanda)/ concerned Court below in connection with Rajgir P.S. Case No. 202 of 2026.

9. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not express any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

