

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39969 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-111 Year-2026 Thana- PARASBIGHA District- Jehanabad

Pappu Kumar Son of Awadhesh Yadav Resident of Village- Vishunpura, P.S.-
Paras Bigha, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brajbhushan Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satya Nand Shukla

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 117(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 74, 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 14.04.2026 at 8:00 PM, four named accused persons including the petitioner came and said that he is giving less grains, on objection, petitioner assaulted him by *lathi* causing injury on head, on alarm, they fled when villagers gathered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the



informant who is a PDS dealer. It is next submitted that informant being a PDS dealer does not keep grain as per government direction, as such, an objection was raised and the petitioner also led the agitation, hence came to be implicated in the instant case falsely. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that specific allegation is alleged against the petitioner of assaulting the informant by *lathi* causing injury on head, but then it is submitted that fracture of tip of nasal bone has been opined to grievous which amply demonstrates that petitioner for ulterior reason has been implicated as informant does not allege that petitioner assaulted him on his nose. It is next submitted that the date of occurrence is 14.04.2026 and the FIR came to be instituted on 18.04.2026, i.e. after a delay of four days without any plausible explanation. It is also submitted that informant alleges that he was treated at Kinjar hospital, but then had it been a case of assault, in that event the hospital would have informed the police. It is reiterated and submitted that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is not a criminal.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned



counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Paras Bigha P.S. Case No. 111 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

rajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

