

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40031 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-222 Year-2026 Thana- SITAMARHI District- Sitamarhi

Hariom Sharraf Son of Ranjay Sharaf @ Ranjay Sharraf Resident of Village-
Mirchaipatti, Ward No. 10, P.S.- Sitamarhi, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Dr. Kumar Uday Pratap, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-06-2026 Heard Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Singh, learned
counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Santosh Kumar, learned counsel
for the Informant and Mr. Dr. Kumar Uday Pratap, learned APP
for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
28.03.2026, in connection with Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 222 of
2026, F.I.R. dated 27.03.2026 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 109(1),
351(2), 118(1), 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he inflicted
repeated knife blows upon the informant due to which he
sustained injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the



present case. He further submits that from perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that due to some petty dispute the present occurrence had taken place and there is case and counter case between the parties. Although there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted to the informant by means of knife but the injury report of the informant suggests that the injury is simple in nature and the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 28.03.2026.

5. Learned counsel for the Informant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is specific and direct allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted to the informant by means of knife and he has received injury and apart from that the petitioner carries five more cases other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail petition that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sitamarhi in connection with Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 222 of 2026, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

Ibrar//-

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

