

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40856 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-166 Year-2026 Thana- PARSABAZAR District- Patna

1. Satendra Kumar
2. Mantu Kumar
Both are son of Late Rameshwar Ray, R/o Village- Khaitoli, (Khairatali),
P.S.- Parsa Bazar, Distt.- Patna.
3. Aasha Devi W/o Late Rameshwar Ray R/o Village- Wajitpur (Wajirpur),
P.S.- Maner, Distt.- Patna.
4. Santu Kumar, S/o Late Rameshwar Ray R/o Village- Khaitoli, (Khairatali),
P.S.- Parsa Bazar, Distt.- Patna.
5. Rupa Kumari, W/o Harendra Kumar @ Halendra Kumar R/o Village-
Khaitoli, (Khairatali), P.S.- Parsa Bazar, Distt.- Patna.
6. Randhir Kumar S/o Late Rameshwar Ray R/o Village- Khaitoli, (Khairatali),
P.S.- Parsa Bazar, Distt.- Patna.
7. Harendra Kumar @ Halendra Kumar, S/o Late Rameshwar Ray, R/o Village-
Wajitpur, P.S.- Maner, Distt.- Patna.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Alka Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Shubhesh Pandey, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State duly

assisted by learned counsel appearing for the informant.

2. The accused/petitioners are named in the FIR

and apprehending their arrest in connection with Parsa Bazar

P.S. Case No.166 of 2026 registered under Sections 115(2),

126(2), 117(2), 74, 303(2), 352, 315, 315(2) read with



3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (in short 'BNS').

3. As per FIR, the petitioners were alleged to assault the informant and her family members, causing head and bodily injuries and also to snatch the jewelery belong to them and outrage modesty of informant during the occurrence.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing for petitioners that the petitioners were implicated falsely in the background of land dispute, as a title suit is pending between the parties bearing Title Suit No.414 of 2024 and, therefore, the present criminal case is completely unoccasioned and unwarranted. It is submitted that the informant's side also lodged a criminal case, which has been registered as Naura P.S. Case No.118 of 2025 against petitioners almost with similar allegation and, as such, the informant is under habit to lodge such false and frivolous complaint in the background of land dispute. It is pointed out that the injury as alleged to be received due to assault made by petitioners, upon medical examination, found simple in nature and moreover the allegation of outraging modesty is



also false on its face, as same appears not made with any sexual intent. Explaining criminal antecedent, it is submitted that petitioners are accused in one more criminal case with informant's side, where they are on bail.

5. Learned APP duly assisted by Mr. Shubesh Pandey, learned counsel appearing for the informant while opposing the prayer of bail submitted that the injury report is corroborating the allegation *qua* physical assault. However, he fairly conceded that the nature of injury is simple. He could not dispute the pending litigation regarding land dispute as submitted aforesaid.

6. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as primarily the present criminal case appears to be lodged in the background of land dispute, where nature of injury appears simple, accordingly, all above-named petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the court below within a period of four weeks, are directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Patna in connection with
Parsa Bazar P.S. Case No.166 of 2026, subject to the
conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the
CrPC/under Section 482(2) of the BNSS and with further
conditions:-

(i) That petitioner shall co-operate in
investigation and shall be physically present on
each and every date before the Trial Court till
conclusion of the trial after framing of charge,
where exemption from physical appearance be
allowed by the Trial Court only on medical
ground of the petitioners duly supported by
the documents.

(ii) That if petitioners failed to
appear before the trial court on two
consecutive dates, the trial court shall be at
liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the
petitioners.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

