

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41004 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-185 Year-2022 Thana- PASRAHA District- Khagaria

-
1. Manish Kumar @ Manish Kumar Rai, S/o Moktar Ray
 2. Bittu Kumar, S/o Jaynandan Ray @ Yadunandan Ray,
Both are resident of Village - Tamoliya, Tambolia, Ward No.-10, P.S.-
Bochahan, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Both accused/petitioners are named in the FIR

and apprehending their arrest in connection with Pasraha

P.S. Case No.185 of 2022 registered under Sections 341,

323, 324, 307, 420 and 201 read with 34 of the Indian

Penal Code.

3. Allegation against petitioners is to assault the

informant by sharp-edged weapon, causing injury running

from waist to thigh (back side). It is alleged that the

petitioners were also accompanied by 3-4 unknown persons.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing for



petitioners that as per injury report, the informant received alleged injuries due to road accident. In support of his submission, he refers paragraph-19 of the case diary. It is submitted that in fact the petitioners were paying expenditure of treatment of the informant and when he was not in a position to meet out the same, after one month, in order to create pressure, the informant lodged present false case against petitioners and others. It is submitted that even the allegation as raised through FIR be accepted for awhile, the injury as alleged to be caused by these petitioners found on non-vital part and same also appears not repeated, negating intention to cause death. While concluding argument, it is submitted that the differences, which surfaced between the parties as to lodge the present criminal case, now stands compromised in view of Annexure-P/2. Explaining criminal antecedent, it is submitted that petitioner no.1 found involved in one more criminal case, where he is on bail and petitioner no.2 is a man of clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail.

6. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by



taking note of fact as the injury report *prima facie* suggest that the informant has received injury out of road accident, coupled with the fact that injury also not appears repeated and was caused on non-vital part of the body, accordingly, both above-named petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the court below within a period of four weeks, are directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Khagaria in connection with Pasraha P.S. Case No.185 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the CrPC/under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

