

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39675 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-511 Year-2025 Thana- BEGUSARAI TOWN District- Begusarai

Jai Prakash Sah Son of Bhola Sah Resident of Village- 271/272, Ward No. 33,
Sonarpatti, Mungeriganj, P.S.- Town Begusarai, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s: **Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP**

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
State .

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 303(2) and 317(2) of B.N.S.

3 . The prosecution case in brief, is that on December 9, 2025, at approximately 4:00 p.m., an 18-year-old boy boarded the informant Rajesh Sah's E-rickshaw near Lohiyanagar Gumti, snatched his Samsung mobile phone (SIM No. 6205346068), and fled. Upon calling his stolen number from another phone, Constable Vivek Kumar answered and informed Sah that the device had been recovered under suspicious circumstances from a boy named Aman Kumar, who was now in police custody. At the police station, Sah identified Aman



Kumar as the thief and recognized his stolen mobile. Concurrently, another informant at the station, Daulat Devi, identified Aman Kumar as the individual who had forcibly snatched a gold Lakshmi *Chakti* from her 6-7-year-old granddaughter, Anvi Kumari, at her grocery shop. During interrogation, the accused confessed to the theft and admitted to selling the stolen gold *Chakti* to Jai Prakash (petitioner), the owner of J.P. Jewellers in Mungeriganj, for ₹3,000/-. Thereafter the present case was lodged.

4. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that petitioner has committed no offence as alleged. The name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of the co-accused Aman Kumar. It is true that the alleged gold *Chakti* was purchased by petitioner from Aman Kumar but petitioner had no knowledge that the gold *Chakti* was stolen. As a matter of fact, co-accused Aman Kumar came to his shop and told that her mother is very serious and for her treatment he needs money and therefore he sold the gold *Chakti* and when the petitioner questioned him regarding the ownership documents or receipt for the *Chakti* then Aman Kumar misled him by stating that he had simply forgotten the papers at home . Petitioner claims clean antecedent.



5 . Learned counsel for the State opposed the bail petition .

6 Considering the aforesaid facts, clean antecedent of the petitioner and other circumstances of the case , in the event of arrest or surrender within eight weeks from today, let the petitioner, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Begusarai, in connection with Begusarai Town P.S. Case No. 511 of 2025 , subject to the conditions laid down under section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita , 2023 .

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/Alok
Raj-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

