

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40361 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-106 Year-2026 Thana- BANMANKHI District- Purnia

Mohammad Inamul Ansari @ MD. Anamul @ MD. Anamul Ansari S/O Daud Ansari @ Md. Dawood Resident of village- Makhnaha, Ansari Tola, Post-Haripur Mandi, Makhnaha, Police Station- Banmankhi, District-Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Banmankhi P.S. Case No. 106 of 2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 310(4), 310(5), 111(3) of the B.N.S., and Sections 25(1-b)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the police had secret input that certain miscreants had gathered for committing some offence, and on this input, police reached the place of occurrence. It is alleged that certain persons managed to flee away whereas three persons, namely, Md. Manan, Md. Javed and Md. Istayak were apprehended and from the possession of Md. Manan, one country-made pistol fully loaded



with cartridge and mobiles were recovered.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that as per the case of the prosecution, the petitioner is one amongst the fled away person. The name of this petitioner has surfaced on the basis of statement of Md. Manan. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner. The present case is based mainly on the input of police. There is no concrete material against the petitioner. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 26.04.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-I, Purnea in connection with Banmankhi P.S. Case No.



106 of 2026.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

