

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41117 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-122 Year-2026 Thana- JANTA BAZAR District- Saran

Rohit Kumar Singh @ Rohit Singh S/O- Mukhram Singh R/O Village-  
Piparahiya Aruan (Adhua), PS -Bhagwanpur Hat, District-Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bishwajeet Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Madan Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 2      24-06-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his  
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under  
Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
the petitioner has antecedent of one case under the Excise Act  
and allegation is of recovery of 518.400 litres of liquor from a  
Bolero vehicle and from a motorcycle.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was  
recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of  
any of the seized vehicles and he came to be implicated based  
on confessional statement of Ram Babu in police custody which



does not have any evidentiary value.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Janta Bazar P. S. Case No.122 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found



that petitioner has antecedent of one case only, in that event, the  
provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

