

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40593 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-25 Year-2026 Thana- Sri Nagar District- Purnia

1. Magiam Devi @ Mina Murmu W/o Talloo Soren R/o Village - Kali Bari Ward no. 1, P.S - Srinagar, District - Purnea
2. Sunita Baski W/o Bittu Tuddu R/o Village - Kali Bari Ward No. 1, P.S - Srinagar, District - Purnea
3. Fulmani Tuddu W/o Late Manju Murmu R/o Village - Kali Bari Ward No. 1, P.S - Srinagar, District - Purnea
4. Sunita Tuddu @ Sunita Devi W/o Manoj Tuddu R/o Village - Kali Bari Ward No. 1, P.S - Srinagar, District - Purnea
5. Talamay Soren W/o Late Bhola Tuddu @ Bhola Soren R/o Village - Kali Bari Ward No. 1, P.S - Srinagar, District - Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 192(2), 190, 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 221, 121(1), 121(2), 61(2), 132, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS as well as Section 37(1) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners no. 1 to 4 are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner no. 5 has antecedent of one case under the Excise Act



and all the petitioners are women and in sum and substance, the allegation is that one Solendra Murmu was brought to Srinagar Police Station as he was found in a drunken state when the mob gathered near the police station and freed Solendra Murmu.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant based on the disclosure made by the Chaukidar. It is further submitted that petitioners are resident of a place near to the police station, as such, out of inquisitiveness, they had also gone to see the ruckus which was being created and came to be implicated.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, let the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Srinagar P.S. Case No. 25 of 2026, subject to the



conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. However, it is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that petitioners no. 1 to 4 have antecedent of even one case and petitioner no. 5 has antecedent of more than one case, it would be presumed that petitioners had concealed their antecedent before this Court, at para 3 of the anticipatory bail application, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but, if it is found on verification that petitioners no. 1 to 4 are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner no. 5 has antecedent of only one case, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

