

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40355 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-20 Year-2026 Thana- KATEYA District- Gopalganj

1. Indrawati Devi W/O Parsuram Baitha R/O Vill- Mohanpur, PS- Kateya, Distt- Gopalganj
2. Amrita Kumari @ Amrita Baitha D/O Parsuram Baitha R/O Vill- Mohanpur, PS- Kateya, Distt- Gopalganj
3. Tara Devi W/O Deepak Baitha R/O Vill- Mohanpur, PS- Kateya, Distt- Gopalganj
4. Parshuram Baitha S/O Late Ishari Baitha R/O Vill- Mohanpur, PS- Kateya, Distt- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Priya Raj, Advocate Mr. Parth Sarthy, Advocate Mr. Diwakar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Renu Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the son of the informant was killed and his dead body was lying in the fields. The informant started searching her son and found the body. It is alleged that the petitioners along with others have killed the deceased as he was having relationship with one Amrita Kumari



which was also in the knowledge of her family members.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that from perusal of the F.I.R., it is clear that nature of allegation is general and omnibus. From perusal of the order of learned trial Court, it will transpire that during investigation, one Parshuram Baitha has given his confessional statement wherein he has confessed his guilt that they have killed the son of the informant by strangulation with the muffler of the deceased and the muffler of the deceased has also been found at the place of occurrence. It has further been submitted that save and except the confessional statement, there is nothing against these petitioners. It has further been submitted that police has not collected the C.D.R. of the deceased or of the girl with whom the deceased was having relationship. The name of these petitioners has surfaced only on the basis of suspicion. Petitioner nos. 1, 2 and 3 are ladies and petitioner no. 4 is Parshuram Baitha who has given his confessional statement. They are having no criminal antecedent and they are languishing in judicial custody since 11.01.2026.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.



6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Gopalganj in connection with Kateya P.S. Case No. 20 of 2026.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

