

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39662 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-99 Year-2025 Thana- Mufassil District- Purnia

=====

Dharam Kumar @ Dharam Lal Mehta @ Dharam Mehta S/O- Jagdish Mehta
@ Jagadish Mehta Resident of Village- Chnadi Kathwa, PS- Mufassil District
-Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Bipin Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Shantanu Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Harsh Vardhan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-06-2026 Heard Mr.Bipin Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Mr. Harsh Vardhan, learned counsel for the

informant and Mr.Shantanu Kumar, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
09.02.2026 in connection with Mufassil P.S. Case No. 99 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 21.04.2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 126(2),
118(1), 109, 352, 303(2) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he hits on
head of informant's husband by a Kudaal by coming from
back side.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. As per allegation in the FIR, the petitioner has assaulted to the husband of the informant and he has received the injury and the injury is grievous in nature. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is case and counter case and there was no intention to kill anyone and co-accused persons, namely, Suman Kumar & Anr. have been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 12.01.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No.89094 of 2025 and co-accused person, namely, Arun Mehta has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 30.01.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No.3404 of 2026, the police, after investigation, submitted the chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 09.02.2026.

5. Learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted to the husband of the informant and he has received the injury which is grievous in nature, apart from that, the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one but fairly



submits that the petitioner is on bail in the said case, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M, Purnea or appropriate court below in connection with Mufassil P.S. Case No. 99 of 2025, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the



acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

