

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42765 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2026 Thana- Haraiya District- East Champaran

=====

Pramod Kumar, S/o Jagdev Roy @ Jagdev Ray, R/o village - Pachaharawa
(Hanuman Nagar) @ Hanuman Nagar @ Pachaharwa Tola, P.S. -Mejorganj,
Dist. Sitamarhi, Bihar

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The accused/petitioner is named in the FIR and apprehending his arrest in connection with Haraiya P.S. Case No.23 of 2026 registered under Sections 317(5), 318(4), 61(2), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 111, 178, 179, 180 and 181 read with 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (in short 'B.N.S.').

3. Allegation against petitioner is to involve in printing of counterfeit Nepali and Indian currency along with other co-accused persons, where during raid, Nepali counterfeit notes of Rs.25,00,000/-, 1,40,000/- of Nepali notes equivalent to Rs.1,000/-, 22 bundles of papers,



chemicals, colour and other materials were recovered for printing of counterfeit currency.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that all such recovery was made from cow-shed of the petitioner, which was given on rent to one Daya Tiwari @ Sushil Tiwari. It is pointed out that the residence of petitioner is one kilometer away from his cow-shed and, therefore, he was not aware about the activities of his cowshed, which has been given on rent to co-accused. However, it is conceded that there is no agreement of rent *qua* cowshed, from where alleged recovery was made. It is submitted that the apprehended co-accused persons even not named this petitioner *qua* his involvement with present crime in question. While concluding argument, it is submitted that the petitioner found involved in one more criminal case of kidnapping, where he is on bail.

5. Learned APP while opposing the prayer of bail submitted that the petitioner is a man of criminal antecedent and all such illegal activities was running from his cowshed and, therefore, it can be safely presumed that all such illegal



activities was within the knowledge of this petitioner particularly, in want of any agreement for rent in favour of co-accused Daya Tiwari @ Sushil Tiwari as submitted.

6. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact, as premises of petitioner was admittedly used for printing of counterfeit Indian and Nepali currency, accordingly, the prayer of anticipatory bail of petitioner stands rejected.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

