

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39924 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-66 Year-2026 Thana- SANJHOLI District- Rohtas

Vishnu Kumar Verma @ Visnu Kumar Verma S/o Kanhaiya Prasad Verma
R/o Village -Aathar, P.S. -Nawanagar Basdeva O.P., District - Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nagendra Upadhyay, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-06-2026 Heard Mr. Nagendra Upadhyay, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, learned APP for the
State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
06.04.2026, in connection with Sanjhauli P.S. Case No. 66 of
2026, F.I.R. dated 22.03.2026 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 334(1), 303(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The F.I.R. of the occurrence of theft is against
unknown.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely
implicated in the present case. He further submits that the
petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and the name of the
petitioner has been transpired on the basis of confessional



statement of the co-accused persons, namely, Ramendra Yadav and Medar Yadav and nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. As per allegation in the F.I.R. the petitioner being a utensil shops has purchased the theft articles from co-accused persons. He further submits that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 06.04.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner having clean antecedent and nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bikramganj, District- Rohtas in connection with Sanjhauli P.S. Case No. 66 of 2026, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and



on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

