

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38872 of 2026

Arising Out of P.S. Case No.-671, Year-2025. Thana- ISLAMPUR, District- Nalanda

Raju Kumar, S/o- Viresh Kumar, Resident of Village- Chandanpura, Police Station- Islampur, District- Nalanda at Biharsharif

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Shanker Pankaj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Islampur P.S. Case No. 671 of 2025 registered for the offence(s) under Section(s) 190, 191(2), 191(3), 329(4), 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 351(3), 352, 117(2) and 74 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (B.N.S.).

3. As per the prosecution case, the allegation against the petitioner is that he has assaulted the informant brutally and has caused a grievous injury to her.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated and no such incident as alleged has happened. It has further been submitted that though the allegation of assault has been made against the petitioner but the injuries were found to be simple barring one injury that is found to be on the left wrist which is said to be grievous in nature. It has next been submitted that there is a case



and counter case, for the said occurrence one Islampur P.S. Case No. 670 of 2025 was lodged on behalf of the petitioner side and in fact the present case has been registered after the case lodged by the petitioner. It has also been submitted that both side have, in fact, received injuries. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let the petitioner, above-named, be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the concerned Court/successor Court within a period of four weeks from today, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned Court/successor Court where the case is pending in connection with Islampur P.S. Case No. 671 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 read with corresponding Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (B.N.S.S.) as well as subject to the



following conditions :

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his/her close relative and the other shall be a local resident.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present before the concerned Court on each and every date of the trial and shall cooperate in the investigation.

(iii) In case of absence for two consecutive occasions or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bonds of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the concerned Court.

(iv) If the petitioner, in future, is found to be involved in similar nature of offence(s), the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his/her bail bonds.

(v) The concerned Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case, at any stage, it is found that he/she has concealed his/her criminal antecedents, the Court concerned shall take necessary steps for cancellation of his/her bail bond. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

8. The application stands allowed.

sweta/-

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

