

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 38901 of 2026

Arising Out of P.S. Case No.-256, Year-2025, Thana- PASRAHA, District- Khagaria

1. Ranvijay Kumar, S/o-Umesh Tanti, R/o- village- Son diha, Ward no 19, P.S- Pasraha, District- Khagaria
2. Krishna Devi, W/o- Umesh Tanti, R/- village- Son diha, Ward no 19, P.S- Pasraha, District- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Pasraha P.S. Case No. 256 of 2025 registered for the offence(s) under Section(s) 103(1), 238 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (B.N.S.).

3. The prosecution case is to the effect that the informant has alleged that his daughter was done to death by the named accused persons including the petitioner. It has been alleged that the daughter of the informant was killed and her dead body was made to disappear.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated merely because they are the sister-in-law and the nephew of the deceased. It has further been submitted that the petitioner no. 1 being the “*gotini*” had no concern with the family affairs of her brother-in-



law and she was living separately. It has next been submitted that the allegation of killing the daughter of the informant and disposing of the body was not correct as the daughter of the informant was taken to the hospital where in the course of treatment, she had died. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioners have clean antecedents.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let the petitioners, above-named, be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the concerned Court/successor Court within a period of four weeks from today, on their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned Court/successor Court where the case is pending in connection with Pasraha P.S. Case No. 256 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 read with corresponding Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023



(B.N.S.S.) as well as subject to the following conditions :

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be their close relative and the other shall be a local resident.

(ii) The petitioners shall remain physically present before the concerned Court on each and every date of the trial and shall cooperate in the investigation.

(iii) In case of absence for two consecutive occasions or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bonds of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the concerned Court.

(iv) If the petitioners, in future, are found to be involved in similar nature of offence(s), the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(v) The concerned Court shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioners and in case, at any stage, it is found that they have concealed their criminal antecedents, the Court concerned shall take necessary steps for cancellation of their bail bonds. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

sweta/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

