

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39355 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-124 Year-2026 Thana- Arwal District- Arwal

=====

Niranjan Singh @ Niranjan Kumar S/O Late Chaneshwar Bharti R/O Village-
Bara, P.S.- Arwal, Dist.- Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Patna
2. Sanju Kumari W/O Amarjeet Singh R/O Village- Bara, P.S.- Arwal, Dist.-
Arwal

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate
For the informant	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Mishra, Advocate Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

counsel for the informant and learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in

connection with Arwal P.S. Case No. 124 of 2026, F.I.R dated

02.04.2026 registered for the offences punishable under

Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 110, 329(4), 3(5) of the

Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant

alleged that on 01.04.2026 at about 07.00 p.m., while she was

returning to her house, the accused persons intercepted her in



the lane and petitioner Niranjan Singh allegedly assaulted with intention to kill, causing fracture of right shoulder. It is further alleged that when the husband of the informant rushed to rescue her, petitioner Niranjan Singh forcibly entered into the house of the informant and assaulted him by means of iron rod on the kneecap, as a result of which he fell unconscious. The F.I.R. contains specific allegations and overt acts against the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that for the same occurrence, there is case and counter case between the parties. It is next submitted that though there is allegation of assault against this petitioner due to which the husband of the informant sustained grievous injury but the injury sustained by him is not on vital part while there is fracture and dislocation of bones. At this stage, the petitioner proposes to provide some financial assistance to husband of the informant in getting his medical treatment by paying him Rs.70,000/- as a financial assistance, without accepting his guilt as the injured is his neighbor. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the informant has appeared and submits that the petitioner may be directed to maintain peace



and harmony with the informant and her family and the financial assistance as proposed.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and taking into account that the injuries have not been sustained on vital parts and the petitioner is ready to provide financial assistance for proper medical treatment of informant's husband by paying him Rs.70,000/- at the time of furnishing bail bond. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Arwal in connection with Arwal P.S. Case No.124 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide



official document/personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

suryadev/rajan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

