

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42485 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-378 Year-2025 Thana- PAKRIDAYAL District- East Champaran

1. Ravi Kishan @ Ravi Kishan Kumar @ Ravi Kishan Paswan S/O Harendra Paswan Resident of Village - Dhanauji, P.S. - Pakridayal, District - East Champaran
2. Umesh Paswan S/O Sahdev Paswan @ Sahdev Pasvan Resident of Village - Dhanauji, P.S. - Pakridayal, District - East Champaran
3. Mural Paswan S/O Late Vilas Paswan Resident of Village - Dhanauji, P.S. - Pakridayal, District - East Champaran
4. Budhan Paswan @ Budhan @ Gajindra Paswan S/O late Raj Hajari Paswan @ Ram Hajari Paswan Resident of Village - Dhanauji, P.S. - Pakridayal, District - East Champaran
5. Mukesh Paswan S/O Sahdev Paswan Resident of Village - Dhanauji, P.S. - Pakridayal, District - East Champaran
6. Dharmendra Paswan @ Dharmendra Kumar S/O Umesh Paswan Resident of Village - Dhanauji, P.S. - Pakridayal, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhurendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 31-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Pakridayal P.S. Case No.378 of 2025 dated 15.08.2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 192, 190, 126(2), 115(2), 127(2), 125(a), 109(1), 303(2), 118(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per the FIR, the petitioners and other co-accused persons are said to have assaulted the informant and one Nikhil Kumar Singh by means of iron rod, bricks and stones



and also snatched gold ornaments from them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that the occurrence is alleged to have taken place on account of a scuffle between the parties following a power cut in the locality. It is further submitted that with respect to the same occurrence, two cases have been instituted, one being Pakridayal P.S. Case No. 377 of 2025 lodged by Sunny Kumar against petitioner nos. 1, 2 and 6 and the other being the present case. Learned counsel further submits that from the case diary, it appears that the occurrence arose out of a petty dispute and there was a free fight between the parties. It is also submitted that the injuries sustained by the informant have been found to be simple in nature. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner nos.1, 2 and 6 have one criminal antecedents and rest of the petitioners have no criminal antecedents.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the injuries having been found simple in nature, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of



their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-8th, East Champaran at Motihari/Successor Court in connection with Pakridayal P.S. Case No.378 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative/ known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/ personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

