IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43619 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010565752026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-15 Year-2026 Thana- Chitragupta Nagar District- Khagaria

==========

1. Rajesh Tanti Son of Vindeshwri Tanti Resident of Ekaniya, English Tola, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Khagaria, presently residing at Naya Punarvas Bachhouta, Rabri Nagar, P.S.- Chitragupt Nagar, District- Khagaria.
2. Urmila Devi Wife of Vindeshwri Tanti Resident of Ekaniya, English Tola, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Khagaria, presently residing at Naya Punarvas Bachhouta, Rabri Nagar, P.S.- Chitragupt Nagar, District- Khagaria.
3. Jaymala Devi Wife of Rajesh Tanti Resident of Ekaniya, English Tola, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Khagaria, presently residing at Naya Punarvas Bachhouta, Rabri Nagar, P.S.- Chitragupt Nagar, District- Khagaria.
4. Sampat Devi @ Sampti Kumari Wife of Lalan Tanti Resident of Ekaniya, English Tola, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Khagaria, presently residing at Naya Punarvas Bachhouta, Rabri Nagar, P.S.- Chitragupt Nagar, District- Khagaria.
5. Rutan Devi @ Nutan Devi Wife of Prithvi Tanti Resident of Ekaniya, English Tola, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Khagaria, presently residing at Naya Punarvas Bachhouta, Rabri Nagar, P.S.- Chitragupt Nagar, District- Khagaria.
6. Vindeshwari Tanti Son of Late Vishundev Tanti Resident of Ekaniya, English Tola, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Khagaria, presently residing at Naya Punarvas Bachhouta, Rabri Nagar, P.S.- Chitragupt Nagar, District- Khagaria.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajesh Sinha, Advocate
	:	Mr. Ajit Kumar Sinha, Advocate
	:	Mr. Chandan Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

4 27-10-2026 Heard Mr. Rajesh Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Bharat Bhushan, learned APP representing the State.

WEB COPY

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Chitraguptnagar P.S. Case No. 15 of 2026 registered for the offence under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 351(3), 352, 96 and 3(5) of the B.N.S., lodged on 19.01.2026 by the informant, Veena Devi.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that when she returned home, found her minor daughter missing. Later, came to know that the accused persons have taken her away. This led to the F.I.R.

4. In this case, the coordinate Bench had called for case diary and the statement of the victim girl which are on record and the girl narrated that fed up with the attitude of her mother who wanted her to go to work, she left the place and went to Haridwar and was staying with her uncle. Upon knowledge of the F.I.R., she returned.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the entire family members have been implicated, they are ready to cooperate in the investigation.

6. Learned APP though opposes the prayer concede that the girl has not alleged anything against the accused persons.

7. Considering the submissions of the parties as also

WEB COPY

the statement of the victim girl made before the Court, the petitioners have undertaken to cooperate in the investigation, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend them the privilege of anticipatory bail.

8. Let the petitioners be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Khagaria, in connection with Chitraguptnagar P.S. Case No. 15 of 2026 subject to the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioners who shall provide official document (Aadhar Card/Voter Id/Driving License/Pan Card) to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioners shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioners shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

WEB COPY

(iv) the petitioners shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioners shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	

WEB COPY