

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38850 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-67 Year-2026 Thana- JOKIHAT District- Araria

Md. Abdullah @ Abdullah S/O Shamim Akhtar Resident of village- Gogra
ward no 12,P.O.-Gairki, Police Station- Jokihat, district- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar Rana, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajit Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-06-2026 Heard Mr.Mukesh Kumar Rana, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr.Ajit Kumar, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
21.02.2026 in connection with Jokihat P.S. Case No. 67 of 2026,
F.I.R. dated 19.02.2026 registered for the offence punishable
under Sections 331(4),334(1),62 of BNS.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he has tried
to commit theft.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the
present case on the basis of the CCTV footage and the said
Footage was not sent for FSL examination and without verifying
the same the petitioner has been made accused in the present
case and except the aforesaid, nothing has come during



investigation against the petitioner to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. As per allegation in the FIR, the petitioner has tried to commit the crime in question and the police, after investigation, submitted the chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 21.02.2026.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has been seeing in the CCTV footage, apart from that, the petitioner carries three more cases other than the present one but he fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in all the cases, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Araria in connection with Jokihat P.S. Case No. 67 of 2026, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.



(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

