

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38963 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-66 Year-2026 Thana- Panapur District- Muzaffarpur

Pinki Devi W/O Jai Shankar Thakur Resident of Village - Chikanauta, P.S-  
Karja, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Nitu Kumari

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Syed Ehteshamuddin

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      18-06-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of her  
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under  
Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
the petitioner has antecedent of four cases out of which two  
cases are under the Excise Act and is a woman and allegation is  
of recovery of 518.400 litres of liquor from a motorcycle.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was  
recovered from her conscious possession and he came to be  
implicated based on the fact that she is owner of the seized  
vehicle. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use



her own vehicle for committing a crime and thus, would create evidence against herself and hence, would get implicated. It is further submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that her friend would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged who also fled from the spot. It is not the case of the prosecution that they saw a woman fleeing from the place of occurrence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on her furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Panapur Kariyat P. S. Case No.66 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify



the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than four cases, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed her antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner has antecedent of only four cases, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

vikash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

