

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39196 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-444 Year-2023 Thana- BAHERA District- Darbhanga

Navendu Nav S/O Nand Kumar Jha Resident of Village - Pokhram, P.S-
Bahera, Dist- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shambhu Nath Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bahera P.S. Case No. 444 of 2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 409, 420, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case in brief is that an written application filed by on Ranjay Kumar Ambast Chief Manager Indian State Bank Agriculture Development Branch, Benipur (Ashapur), Post - Bahera to the Officer -in-charge Bahera Police Station stating therein inter alia that when he took charge as a chief manager SBI ADV ((agriculture development branch Benipur) Darbhanga on 21 June 2023 after a day, gradually he found out from all the then employees and officers that in the



year 2022, Sangeet Paswan with the help of Navendu Nav had illegally transferred money in their account from the accounts of various account holder. He further alleged that on getting information about this, he saw that some of the money had been returned in some accounts, He further said that he created a pressure on Sanjeet Paswan and got it deposited money in the remaining account except one account and informed to the CONTROLLING OFFICE RBO DARBHANGA. The controlling authority again got it investigated and immediately, Sanjeet Paswan was suspended. In this way the work done by Sanjeet Kumar Paswan and Navendu Nav is fraud with the bank Therefore he requested to the officer in-charge of Bagera Police Station to registered FIR against the accused persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is forged and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. Learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that from perusal of the FIR it appears that informant has not mentioned as to how much amount has been misappropriated by the petitioner and co-accused person,



namely, Sanjit Paswan @ Sanjeet Paswan has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail vide order dated 29.01.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 73504 of 2024 by this Court.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the fact that petitioner has clean antecedent and the FIR is vague and also the fact that similarly situated co-accused person has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, Benipur, Darbhanga in connection with Bahera P.S. Case No. 444 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient



reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

