

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38842 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-404 Year-2024 Thana- CHENARI District- Rohtas

=====

Bobby Kumar @ Babi Kumar S/O Vijay Bind R/O Village- Datauli, PS-
Chenari, Dist- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 18-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) and 37(c) of the Bihar Excise Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of recovery of 12 liters of liquor from possession of Ravi Shankar Kumar.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and he has no concern or relation with Ravi Shankar and came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Ravi Shankar in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value.
5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chenari P.S. Case No. 404 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of one case only, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

