

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38533 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-100 Year-2026 Thana- SARAI RANJAN District- Samastipur

1. RAJNISH PURI @ RAJNISH KUMAR PURI Son of Anil Puri Resident of Raypur Buzurg, Raepur Buzurg, P.O.- Raipur Buzurg, P.S. -Sarairanjan, Distt. - Samastipur, Bihar.
2. Amarjeet Puri Son of Anil Puri Resident of Raypur Buzurg, Raepur Buzurg, P.O.- Raipur Buzurg, P.S. -Sarairanjan, Distt. - Samastipur, Bihar.
3. Raushan Giri Son of Arvind Giri Resident of Songar, P.S.- Tajpur, District- Samastipur, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Keshav Bhardwaj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr. Gautam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned APP for the State.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no. 2/Amarjeet Puri has already been arrested.

3. Considering the facts aforesaid, application on behalf of petitioner no. 2/Amarjeet Puri is dismissed as having become infructuous.

4. The petitioner no. 1/Rajnish Puri @ Rajnish Kumar Puri and petitioner no. 3/Raushan Giri seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in connection with Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 100



of 2026, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 135, 307, 115(2), 308(3) and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

5. The allegation against the petitioners no. 1 and 3 is that they had intercepted the informant while he was going on a motorcycle and on the point of pistol they snatched away Rs. 22,000/- and a gold ring. It has further been alleged that on the same day they came to the house of the informant and threatened him of dire consequences and asked him to pay ransom of Rs. 50,000/-. It has also been alleged that the named accused persons threatened them to compromise in Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 46 of 2026.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated merely because the petitioners and the informant have an old standing dispute and there is a case pending in which the petitioner no. 1/Rajnish Puri @ Rajnish Kumar Puri was accused and he was granted bail. It has further been submitted that on account of previous enmity the present false and concocted case has been lodged that too with a delay of two days, which has not even been explained. It has further been submitted that there is no injury caused to anybody which also goes on to show that the petitioners have



falsely been implicated in the present case. It has lastly been submitted that though the petitioner no. 1 has five criminal antecedents but he is on bail in all the said cases and petitioner no. 3 has one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail.

7. Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

8. Considering the facts aforesaid, the petitioner no. 1/Rajnish Puri @ Rajnish Kumar Puri and petitioner no. 3/Raushan Giri are directed to be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, on their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Samastipur in connection with Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 100 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 of the BNSS and subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioners and the other shall be a local resident:

(ii) the petitioners will remain present on each and every date fixed by the Court below, if so required by the learned Trial Court and shall cooperate in the investigation;

(iii) in case of absence on two



consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bonds of the petitioners will liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned;

(iv) the Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of the same on in the name of verification.

(v) in view of the antecedents of the petitioners, the petitioners are directed to appear before the Superintendent of Police concerned within fifteen days of his release with a copy of this order and every two weeks thereafter for the next nine months. The conduct of the petitioners will be kept under watch in this period by the Superintendent of Police concerned and if it is found wanting in any respect, a report shall be made to the court concerned by him to initiate a proceeding for cancellation of bail for reasons of misuse of bail. After reporting to the Superintendent of Police, a certificate will be filed by the petitioners before the court concerned.

9. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

krishna/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

