

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39974 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-39 Year-2025 Thana- BAHADURPUR District- Patna

Md Naddim @ Md Nadim Khan S/o Md Kalim Khan R/o Village-  
Bhaisasur ,P.s- lehari District- Nalanda at Bihar Sharif.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bindeshwar Prasad Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      01-07-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

2.The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 319(2),  
318(4) of the B.N.S. and 63 of the Copy Right Act and Sections  
103, 104 of T M Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits  
that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, it is next  
submitted that the offences for which the instant FIR has been  
instituted carries punishment of seven years, it is next submitted  
that informant alleges that he received an information that  
counterfeit books in large number of his company is being  
supplied throughout Bihar by petitioner who operates a binding  
unit and a large warehouse in Azimabad, Azimabad Colony,



Patna, it is next alleged that allegations were inquired and were found correct, accordingly the police was informed and raid was conducted along with an Executive Magistrate at binding unit and warehouse of the petitioner on 22.01.2025 at 07:30 PM and counterfeit books of informant's company was recovered as detailed in the FIR along with other books.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is further submitted that during the course of investigation, the petitioner was given notice under Section 35(3) of the BNSS since the offences for which the instant FIR was instituted carries punishment of seven year, it is further submitted that petitioner cooperated with the police during the course of investigation and police never felt the need of arresting the petitioner, but then charge sheet came to be submitted, it is next submitted that if police during the course of investigation never felt the need of arresting the petitioner whether it would be prudent for the Court to send the petitioner to jail based on an order of cognizance, it is next submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6.Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court in connection with Bahadur P. S. Case No. 39 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. It is made clear that if the learned Trial Court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after his release on anticipatory bail is trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is trying to delay the trial in both the conditions, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

**8.The application stands allowed.**

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Nitesh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

