

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 42627 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-129 Year-2026 Thana- BARAULI District- Gopalganj

1. Imran Sah S/O- Dhanman Sah Resident of Village-Karua, P.S.- Barharia District-Siwan
2. Hasmuddin Ansari S/O- Nur Alam Ansari Resident of Village-Karua, P.S.- Barharia District-Siwan
3. Javed Ali S/O- Serajuddin Sah Resident of Village-Karua, P.S.- Barharia District-Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-07-2026

Heard Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioners seeks bail who are in custody since 29.03.2026 in connection with Barauli P.S. Case No. 129 of 2026, F.I.R. dated 28.03.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2), 317(2), 317(4), 317(5), 111, 61(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, informant, who is S.I presently posted as S.H.O., Barauli alleged that on 28.03.2026 at 09.05 A.M during vehicle checking, apprehended four persons who tried to flee away by moving the car. Accused were asked to produce papers of the vehicle but they did not produce any valid



documents of the said vehicle, upon interrogation they confessed that they trace out the animals through moving village in the day and stole animals.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and they falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the petitioners have made their confession before police that the car in question is the looted car and except the aforesaid, no other cogent material has come during investigation against the petitioner, which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. The police after investigation submitted charge-sheet against the petitioners and the petitioners are in custody since 29.03.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners and submits that petitioner no.1 has got one criminal antecedent and petitioner has clean antecedent and petitioner no.3 has got one criminal antecedent but fairly submits that petitioner no.1 and petitioner no.3 is on bail in the pending matters.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Gopalganj in



connection with Barauli P.S. Case No. 129 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

