

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38779 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-138 Year-2015 Thana- MEHSI District- East Champaran

Rajesh Kumar Ray @ Rajesh Yadav @ Rajesh Ray S/o Ramekbal Ray @
Ramekbal Rai Resident of village Bhimalpur, P.S - Mehsi ,District- East
Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2026 Heard Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, learned APP
representing the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Mehsi P.S. Case No. 138 of 2015 registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 413 of 414 of the Indian
Penal Code, lodged on 13.08.2015 by the informant Rajesh
Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged
that on 13.08.2015, on secret information that accused persons
have gathered at the house of the petitioner, the place was raided
though some managed to escape, two persons were arrested.



They were Gulshan Kumar and Pankaj Kumar. On search, a motorcycle was recovered and later the arrested person disclosed that on the instruction of this petitioner they had thrown bomb on the house of Madan Shah who was Mukhiya. This led to the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as would reflect from paragraph no.3, he has seven criminal antecedents which led to his long incarceration from 03.01.2020 to 20.09.2024 and as such, it cannot be said that he was absconding. Further, the Police only to implicate has lodged the present case against him and he had no knowledge about this case.

5. Learned APP Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh on the other hand opposed the prayer submitting that the case was lodged on 13.08.2015 and as per his own, the petitioner went into custody in the year 2020, in between as would reflect from the paragraph no.3, he has four more cases after the lodging of the present case inasmuch as between 2017 to 2019 four cases were lodged against him.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties as also that the petitioner has belatedly knocked the doors of the Court, this Court is not inclined to extend him privilege of anticipatory



bail.

7. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

8. However, if the petitioner surrenders within a period of four weeks, files bail application, the court concerned shall consider the matter and dispose it of preferably on the same day.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

