

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1329 of 2026

Manish Kumar S/o - Hari Shankar Singh P.O.- Sadaveh, Sadhe, Sadwa Patna,
P.S.- Dulhin Bazar, Patna, Bihar -801102.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, Through its Chief Secretary, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Patna
3. The Senior Superintendent of Police (SP), Patna
4. The Superintendent of Police (SP), Patna City, Patna
5. The Officer-in-Charge (OIC), P.S.- Alamganj, Patna City.
6. The Officer-In-Charge (OIC), P.S.- Dulhin Bazar, Patna.
7. Rishu Kumari D/o- Manoj Kumar R/o- Nurani Bagh, Colony- 5/B,
Alamganj, P.S. - Gulzarbagh, Patna, Bihar -800007

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Mayank Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. Priya Gupta, Advocate
		Mr. Lokesh Kumar, AC to AG

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KUMAR MANISH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD)

2 06-07-2026 Although the matter has been listed under heading
“For Orders on Office Notes”, but this being a case in which a
prayer has been made for issuance of a Writ in the nature of
Habeas Corpus, the Court has perused the application.

2. It appears from the very first paragraph of the writ
application that the petitioner is well aware of the whereabouts of
his wife and child but his case is that his in-laws have
brainwashed their daughter and, therefore, he alleges that his
wife and child have been unlawfully confined and the petitioner



has been prohibited from meeting them. This seems to be a case of matrimonial discord.

3. From the averments made in the writ petition also, it appears that the petitioner alleges unwarranted involvement of his in-laws in his family affairs which led to the deterioration in the family life of the petitioner. He admits that there had been tussle in the family on petty issues and he further admits that the petitioner totally separated from his family since last one year and this has caused him depression.

4. In our considered opinion, the remedy applied for is misdirected. This extraordinary writ in the nature of a Writ of Habeas Corpus cannot be issued in the kind of disputes between the parties. The petitioner is required to seek his remedy elsewhere as may be advised to him.

5. This writ application is dismissed as not entertained. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to seek his remedy before appropriate forum in accordance with law.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

(Kumar Manish, J)

lekhi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

