

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39359 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-400 Year-2025 Thana- AKHODHIGOLA District- Rohtas

Deodas @ Raj Kumar @ Raja Babu @ Deodas Kumar Son of Ramesh Ram  
Resident of Village- Nawadih, P.S.- Akodhigola, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Kant, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renuka Ratnakar (App 125)

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      25-06-2026                      Heard Mr. Ravi Kant, learned counsel for the  
  
petitioner as well as Ms. Renuka Ratnakar, learned Additional  
  
Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since  
06.04.2026 in connection with Akodhigola P.S. Case No. 400 of  
2025, F.I.R. dated 11.10.2025 for the offences punishable under  
Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 109, 308(2), 308(3), 352,  
351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of  
Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, petitioner and other  
accused persons are alleged to have surrounded the informant,  
abused him and shot fire on him due to which the informant  
became unconscious.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and fabricated. From bare perusal of the FIR it appears that FIR is in two parts, in first part there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioner and in the second part there is specific allegation against co-accused, namely, Saroj and Dhiraj that they have fired upon the informant and as far as this petitioner is concerned, there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act against him. The petitioner is in custody since 06.04.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has participated in the present crime in question and apart from that he carries two criminal antecedents in which he is on bail in one case and one case is pending for consideration before competent Court of law.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dehri, Rohtas in connection with Akodhigola P.S. Case No. 400 of 2025, subject to the following



conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Suruchi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

