

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45369 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2026 Thana- VIGILANCE District- Patna

=====

Rumi Kundu S/o Late Raju Kundu R/o Village - Kaptanpara, Abdullahnagar,
P.S. - Sadar, Distt. - Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Vigilance Department, Patna, Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gaurav Govinda, Advocate
		Mr. Sujit Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, APP
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Spl.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 08-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State and learned counsel for the Vigilance Department.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No. 45 of 2026 dated 16.04.2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 7(a) of the Prevention of Corruption Act.

3. As per the allegation, a sum of Rs. 50,000/- was demanded by one Lalbabu Rajak, who was posted as Revenue Officer, from the complainant. On his direction, the petitioner being a private employee allegedly took the said bribe amount. Thereafter, a trap was laid and the petitioner was caught red



handed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that petitioner is a private employee and as such Section 7(a) of the Prevention of Corruption Act would not be applicable against her. It has further been submitted that the charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner and there is no allegation of tampering against her. It has further been submitted that she has two minor daughters and there is no one to take care. It has further been submitted that petitioner is ready to co-operate in the trial. It has lastly been submitted that petitioner is a lady and she is in custody since 18.04.2026 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the Vigilance Department has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and has submitted that petitioner was caught red handed and she has active connivance with the main accused and at his instance she took the amount.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records.

7. Considering the intervening facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner above-named is



directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Bhagalpur in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No. 45 of 2026.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

