

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38279 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2025 Thana- ANDHRATHARHI District- Madhubani

Jitendra Kumar Singh S/o- Late Asharfi Singh Resident of Village -
Laskariya, ward no -10, P.S-Jaynagar, Distt.- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Pandey, Advocate Ms. Kumari Pallavi
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP Mr. Satya Nand Shukla, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with
Andhra Thari P.S. Case No. 110 of 2025, registered for the
offence punishable under Section 103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that upon
receiving information regarding the commission of dacoity at
the house of Raj Kumar Sah in village Sahuriya, the informant
reached the place of occurrence and found household articles
scattered. The dead body of an unidentified person bearing
firearm injuries was also found lying in a nearby field. It is
alleged that the said person was killed during the commission of



the dacoity.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case.

5. Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the petitioner is specifically alleged to have participated in the dacoity and committed the murder. It is further submitted that the petitioner has four criminal antecedents.

6. Having considered the nature and gravity of the accusations, the materials available on record and the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected and the application stands dismissed.

8. However, the petitioner is at liberty to surrender before the court concerned within four weeks from today and seek regular bail, if so advised, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

9. It is made clear that the observations made herein are confined solely to the adjudication of the present



anticipatory bail application and shall not prejudice the case of
either party at any subsequent stage of the proceedings.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

