

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39988 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-247 Year-2024 Thana- SAHEBPUR KAMAL District-
Begusarai

=====

Sanjeev Kumar @ Sanjeev Singh S/o- Late umesh singh @ Umeshwar Pd.
singh @ umeshwar singh R/o- Vill. - Durakpur Ward no. -08, P.S.- Sahebpur
Kamal, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shubhesh Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar Nirala, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Sahebpur Kamal P.S. Case No. 247 of 2024 registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 115(2),
118(2), 126(2), 109, 303(2), 351(2) and 352 of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that on 31.07.2024 at 09:00 PM, Sanjeev, Khushboo and
Sandhya came and started abusing, on protest petitioner
assaulted by knife causing injury on nose and eye and even
assaulted his brother by knife causing injury on head and
women accused snatched chain of Kunal and Ajeet.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant on account of dispute relating to passage.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner and submits that specific allegation is alleged against the petitioner of assaulting the informant and his brother causing injury on nose and eye of informant and head of his brother. It is further submitted that nose, eye and head are vital parts of the body and knife is a dangerous weapon, as both side of knife is sharp side. It is further submitted that from perusal of the pleadings made in the anticipatory bail application, it would manifest that petitioner does not even dispute that he has not assaulted the informant and his brother by knife.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

