

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38414 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-426 Year-2025 Thana- PIPRA District- East Champaran

Manish Kumar @ Manish Singh S/o- Nand Kishor Singh @ Kishori Singh
R/v- Loikai Belwa Ward No- 12 Damodarpur Ps- Pipra District- East
Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Harendra Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304(2), 303(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 25.09.2025, he along with his mother after withdrawing amount of Rs.2 lacs from State Bank of India bank were coming back home, further at Sirisiya crossing two accused came on a Honda motorcycle and snatched a bag containing Rs.2 lacs which was kept on the handle of the bike, further he recognized one accused as son of Kishori Singh and



the accused who had accompanied him was seen near the bank who snatched the bag.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that Kishori Singh had two sons and from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant is knowing Kishori Singh but then for reasons best known he did not disclose the name of his son. It is further submitted that a specific pleading has been made in the anticipatory bail application that a land dispute in between the parties is brewing. It is also submitted that petitioner is not alleged to have snatched the bag containing money of the informant. It is also submitted that informant and petitioner were known to each other, as such it does not appear probable that petitioner could have indulged in the occurrence. It is further submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the fact



that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No.426 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

7. Further, one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father, namely, Nand Kishor Singh @ Kishori Singh.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner despite giving assurance to this court is not co-operating in the investigation in that event the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

