

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40973 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-107 Year-2022 Thana- RAJPUR District- Rohtas

=====

Noorbas Ansari S/o- Late Jamaluddin Ansaari R/v- Mangrawalya Ps- Rajpur
Dist- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sabnam Khatoon D/o- Jainual Haque Ansahari R/v- Turkwaliya Ps- Rajpur
Dist- Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chhote Lal Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shantanu Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 25-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 498(A), 341, 323, 504, 506, 379/34 of the I.P.C. and Sections 3/4 of D.P. Act.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that earlier the petitioner has been granted anticipatory bail by learned co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 17.12.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 80773 of 2023 with an observation that he shall pay Rs. 4,500/- per month to the informant towards her maintenance till disposal of the case before the learned trial Court.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that as the petitioner is a poor man, he could not pay the maintenance for few months.

5. As per the case of the prosecution, the petitioner is the husband of the informant and the allegation against the petitioner and other accused persons is that they have subjected the informant to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand of bike and cash.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that as he could not pay the maintenance amount as ordered by the learned co-ordinate Bench of this Court, learned trial Court has rejected his bail bonds.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that though he was not able to pay the maintenance despite that, this cannot be the ground for the cancellation of bail. It has further been submitted that no order regarding maintenance has been passed from any learned Family Court. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 10.12.2025.

8. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named



petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bikramganj (Rohtas) in connection with Rajpur P.S. Case No. 107 of 2022.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

