

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40416 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2026 Thana- Chitragupta Nagar District- Patna

Sonu Kumar @ Sonu Kumar Sao Son of Gopal Sao Resident of village-
Hanuman Nagar, Ward no. 44, Ps- Patrakar Nagar, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aryan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 25-06-2026 At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks
permission to delete the name of opposite party no. 2.

2. Prayer is accorded.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

4. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Chitragupta Nagar P.S. Case No. 110 of 2026 registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 8(c), 21, 22(c), 25 and 29
of the N.D.P.S. Act.

5. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that two
persons were riding on the auto and the petitioner is the driver
and on the back seat, a person who was sitting with whom, a
bag was there and from that bag, intoxicating injections were
recovered.



6. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that though it is alleged in the FIR that intoxicant injections in huge quantity was recovered but from perusal of the seizure list, it transpires that the seizure list has been made only of the contraband which were recovered from the Mehta Compound. There is no seizure of the alleged auto and even in FIR, there is no description as to what was recovered from the auto. Petitioner is merely a driver of that auto. Moreover, a statement has been made in para-3 of the petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 12.04.2026.

7. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

8. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



Sessions Judge/ Special Judge (NDPS Act), Patna in connection
with Chitragupta Nagar P.S. Case No. 110 of 2026.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Shubham/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

