

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39544 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2026 Thana- RAJAOLI District- Nawada

Suraj Bhan Yadav @ Suraj Bhan @ Bhan S/o Pradip Yadav @ Pradip Prashad
Yadav Resident of Village - Takuatar, PS - Rajauli, Distt - Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suruchi Anand

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pushpa Sinha.1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2022.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of three cases and allegation is of recovery of 8.25 litres of liquor from house of Pintu. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioner and he has no concern or relation with Pintu and he came to be implicated at the instance of raiding team who claimed to have identified the petitioner who fled. It is next



submitted that of late police has started implicating accused having criminal antecedent in cases relating to excise for obvious reason without holding proper investigation.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rajauli P.S. Case No.110/2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than three cases, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of three cases, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall



be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

