

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38077 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-452 Year-2025 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

1. Shankar Rishidev @ Shankar Kumar son of Parmanand Rishi @ Parmanand Rishidev Resident of village- Pachira, Ward no. 05, Ps- Raniganj, Dist- Araria
2. Manju Devi Wife of Parmanand Rishi @ Parmanand Rishidev Resident of village- Pachira, Ward no. 05, Ps- Raniganj, Dist- Araria
3. Chandan Rishidev @ Chandan Rishi Son of Parmanand Rishi @ Parmanand Rishidev Resident of village- Pachira, Ward no. 05, Ps- Raniganj, Dist- Araria
4. Sanjo Devi Daughter of Parmanand Rishi @ Parmanand Rishidev Resident of village- Pachira, Ward no. 05, Ps- Raniganj, Dist- Araria
5. Guddu Rishidev @ Guddu Rishi Son of Parmanand Rishi @ Parmanand Rishidev Resident of village- Pachira, Ward no. 05, Ps- Raniganj, Dist- Araria
6. Nanki Devi @ Bindula Devi Daughter of Parmanand Rishi @ Parmanand Rishidev Resident of village- Pachira, Ward no. 05, Ps- Raniganj, Dist- Araria
7. Urmila Devi wife of Shivan Rishi @ Shivan Rishidev Resident of village- Pachira, Ward no. 05, Ps- Raniganj, Dist- Araria
8. Tulsi Rishidev son of Parmanand Rishi @ Parmanand Rishidev Resident of village- Pachira, Ward no. 05, Ps- Raniganj, Dist- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gopal Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending arrest in
connection with Raniganj P.S. Case No. 452 of 2025 lodged on



12.12.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 80 & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against 08 named accused persons (all the present petitioners). It has been alleged by the informant that in the name of dowry, the accused persons have assaulted her daughter who was seven months pregnant, due to which, she died in the hospital.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Counsel submits that the alleged date of occurrence was 29.10.2025, but the FIR has been lodged on 12.12.2025. He further submits that the petitioner no.1 is the husband of the deceased and the petitioner nos.2 to 8 are in-laws of the deceased. He submits that the deceased was pregnant and died during treatment in the hospital and her cremation was performed in which the informant also participated. Counsel further submits that the petitioners have one antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners and submits that the petitioner no.1 is the husband of the deceased and the petitioner nos.2 to 8 are in-laws of the deceased.

6. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of



this case, let the above named petitioner nos. 2 to 8 be released on bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Trial Court within a period of 4 weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) each as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 to the satisfaction of A.C.J.M.-I, Araria, in connection with Raniganj P.S. Case No. 452 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

7. So far as petitioner no.1 who is the husband of the deceased is concerned, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to him. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner no.1 stands rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

