IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40090 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010547882026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-95 Year-2023 Thana- SANGRAMPUR District- Munger

==========

Suman Kumar @ Suman Yadav Son of Jay Jay Ram Yadav Resident of village - Malchack, P.S.- Sangrampur, Distt.- Munger

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dimpal Kumar Singh Son of Shambhu Prasad Singh r/o vill- Barohania, ps.- sangrampur dist.- Munger

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Shilpi Keshari, Advocate
Ms. Priya Kumari, Advocate
Mr. Saurabh Keshri, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and perused the case diary. Despite valid service of notice, none has appeared on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 95 of 2023, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 366(A), 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that during the night of 09.04.2023, the informant’s 15-year-old daughter was allegedly kidnapped from her room by the accused persons on

WEB COPY

the pretext of marriage. It is further alleged that the accused persons took ₹10,000/- and a pair of gold earrings belonging to the informant and threatened him with dire consequences.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. There is delay of three days in lodging the FIR. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner has not committed any such offence as alleged against him. It is next submitted that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case during investigation. It is further submitted that the victim has confessed the name of the petitioner in her statement recorded under Sections 161 and 164 of Cr.P.C., but no any overt act has been attributed against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 10.02.2026 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 95 of 2023.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	

WEB COPY