

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37917 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-165 Year-2025 Thana- MANSAHI District- Katihar

=====

Ajay Kumar S/o Rama Sah Resident of Ward No. 45 Dehriya, P.S. - Nagar,
District - Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bimal Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Veerendra Narayan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 317(4), 317(5) and 111 of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of one case under the Excise Act
and the informant alleges that he received an information from
the SHO that Md. Arif is involved in sell and purchase of stolen
motorcycles and two stolen motorcycles have still there in his
house. Accordingly, the house of Md. Arif was raided who was
arrested and he disclosed that he has parked two stolen
motorcycles adjacent to his house and two other motorcycles are
concealed near the railway line, accordingly the motorcycles



were recovered and Md. Arif disclosed that he purchases stolen motorcycles from Md. Gulzar who indulge in committing theft of motorcycles.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that Md. Arif in his confessional statement disclosed the name of Md. Gulzar from whom he had purchased the stolen motorcycles. It is next submitted that Md. Arif did not name the petitioner rather name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of Md. Gulzar. It is also submitted that petitioner was issued notice under section 94 of the B.N.S.S., but he could not gather the courage to appear before the police under apprehension of arrest. It is also submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail



on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Mansahi P. S. Case No. 165 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. with a further condition that one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father namely, **Rama Sah.**

7. The application stands allowed.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event, if any application is filed by the Investigating Officer before the learned trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation or is not presenting himself as and when required, the learned trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Police Station through the learned trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

