IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37873 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010545712026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-220 Year-2022 Thana- AMBA District- Aurangabad

==========

Rohit Kumar @ Santosh Mehta @ Santosh Kumar, aged 29 years, Male, S/o Akela Mehta @ Ishwari Mehta Resident of Dadhpa Bigha, P.S.- Amba, District- Aurangabad

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Binod Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar Roy, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Amba P.S. Case No. 220 of 2022 registered for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 342, 353, 186, 188, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, 14 named and 150 unknown persons blocked the main highway (NH 139) and abused the police personnel, and obstructed them from discharging their official duties.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and has falsely

WEB COPY

been implicated in the present case. No specific overt act has been attributed to the petitioner. At best, the petitioner can be said to be member of unlawful assembly. Similarly situated co-accused persons have also been granted pre-arrest bail by different co-ordinate Benches of this Court *vide* order dated 26.06.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No.20712 of 2023 and order dated 23.06.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No.18586 of 2023. On these grounds, the petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the FIR and the fact that similarly situated co-accused persons have been granted pre-arrest bail by different co-ordinate Benches of this Court, I am of the opinion that petitioner has, *prima facie,* made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten

WEB COPY

Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Aurangabad / Concerned Court in connection with Amba P.S. Case No. 220 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	

WEB COPY