

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38421 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-244 Year-2025 Thana- AMNAUR District- Saran

Chandeep Mahto S/o- Musafir Mahto R/village - Gosaghap, P. S - Amnour,
District - Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hemant Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109(1), 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 06.08.2025 at 9 P.M. petitioner along with Meena and Bablu came to his house and accused him of committing theft of his goat, on objection petitioner assaulted by lathi causing injury on head, hence he fell, thereafter all the accused assaulted him by lathi and danda causing fracture of right hand and also assaulted his wife, who came to save him, further Bablu assaulted on his back and leg by labada and when people



gathered, they fled.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that goat of the petitioner was stolen as such a suspicion was raised against the informant, on which, an altercation took place in which both side assaulted each other. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature as recorded in the order impugned and the blow is not alleged to have been repeated. It is reiterated and submitted that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is not a criminal. It is also submitted that there is a delay of five days in instituting the F.I.R.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial



court where the case is pending/successor court in connection
with Amnaur P.S. Case No.244 of 2025, subject to the
conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

