

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36985 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-601 Year-2017 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

Vikki Kumar Chandravanshi @ Vicky Kumar Chandravanshi @ Vikki Kumar
@ Vikki Kumar Chandrabanshi S/O Radheshyam Chandravanshi @
Radheshyam Kahar R/O Village- Redia, P.S- Chenari, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Chanda Devi W/O Vikki Kumar Chandravanshi @ Vicky Kumar
Chandravanshi @ Vikki Kumar @ Vikki Kumar Chandrabanshi D/O Late
Rajendra Prasad, R/O Village- Redia, P.S- Chenari, Distt.- Rohtas. At
present R/O Village- Kudra, P.S- Kudra, Distt.- Kaimur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Singh, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kanhiya Kishor, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 15-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is named in the complaint petition and
apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No.
601 of 2017 registered for the offences punishable under Sections
498-A, 406, 323 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the
D.P. Act.

3. The allegation against petitioner is to commit cruelty
upon complainant along with family members due to non-
fulfillment of demand of dowry as raised for cash of Rs. 1 lakh, one
motorcycle and one golden chain.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on



behalf of the petitioner that allegation *qua* demand of dowry and physical assault appears very much general and omnibus against this petitioner and moreover learned Jurisdictional Magistrate took cognizance only for the offence punishable under Section 498-A of the IPC and Section 3/4 of the D.P. Act. It is pointed out that allegation *qua* assault and misappropriation of property under Section 406 of the IPC was not believed by the learned Trial Court. It is submitted that all possible efforts to serve notice upon complainant and even when she was called on her phone as available through complaint petition 9572984903 by process server it was told that this mobile number not belongs to complainant and therefore notice could not be served. While concluding arguments, it is submitted that petitioner is a man of clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State while opposing the prayer of bail submitted that petitioner being husband was actively involved in the occurrence, but he fairly conceded that allegations appears general and omnibus in nature.

6. In view of aforesaid submission and by taking note of fact as allegation *qua* demand of dowry and physical assault appears general and omnibus in nature, accordingly petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the



court below within a period of four weeks, is directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M. Sasaram, Rohtas/concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Complaint Case No. 601 of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C/ Section 482(2) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

S.Tripathi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

