

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37278 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-104 Year-2024 Thana- LAUKAHA District- Madhubani

Ram Ashish Das @ Ramshish Das son of Tej Narayan Das Village -Bhajna
PS- Laukaha District -Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Manish Kumar Sah son of Dorik Lal Sah Village -Bhajna PS- Laukaha District -Madhubani

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ravi Prakash, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Shailendra Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

4 05-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well
as learned APP for the State.

2. In this case, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in connection with Laukaha P.S. Case No. 104 of 2024, G.R. No. 97 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 366A, 363, 354(B),(D) of the IPC and 8, 12 of POCSO Act.

3. As per the FIR, the informant's minor daughter was being harassed for the past six months by co-villager Ram Ashish Das (the petitioner), who circulated her photographs. Further, the petitioner along with some unknown persons, forcibly took her away from her house.



4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated. The victim, in her statement recorded under Section 161 of the Cr.P.C., did not support the prosecution case. He has submitted further that the age of the victim, as per the medical report, is 16-17 years and there is no allegation of commission of rape against the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the victim, in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C., has fully supported the prosecution case. He has also submitted that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and the trial is on the verge of its conclusion.

6. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, in my view, the petitioner doesn't deserve the privileges for bail. Accordingly, it is rejected.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

kundan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

