

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39774 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-115 Year-2026 Thana- LAURIA District- West Champaran

Shyam Sundar Sharma Son of Late Indrajit Sharma Resident of Village-
Parorahan, Badhoi Tola, Ward No. -12, P.S.- Lauriya, District- West
Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sitesh Kashyap

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 109(1), 79, 303(2), 329, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that accused persons including the petitioner came on 7-3-2026
at 6:30 PM to his house on account of an existing land dispute
and Manoj caught the informant and petitioner assaulted him by
an iron rod causing injury on head and accused also assaulted
his mother and son-in-law, while Mahendra snatched his locket



and Rs. 9,500/- and injured were taken to hospital.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant on account of dispute relating to land. It is next submitted that petitioner is own uncle of the informant and the date of occurrence is 7-3-2026 and the fardbayan was recorded on 10-3-2026 in the hospital and thereafter the instant FIR came to be instituted on 14-3-2026. It is further submitted that the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature as would manifest from the injury report annexed as Annexure-2 to the anticipatory bail application. It is also submitted that even blow is not alleged to have been repeated. It is next submitted that the antecedent which the petitioner carries is of the year 2010 and the said case also was instituted on account of dispute relating to property.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Lauriya P.S. Case No. 115 of 2026,subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

