

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37442 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-146 Year-2026 Thana- PAHARPUR District- East Champaran

=====

Ajay Kumar S/o Ashok Sah Resident of village - Bankatwa, P.S.- Paharpur,
Distt.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hemant Ray, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Zainul Abedin, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 18-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Hemant Ray and learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Zainul Abedin.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109(1), 76, 351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that accused persons including the petitioner came to his house on 06.03.2026 at 06:30 PM and Khublal along with Laddu held his hand while petitioner assaulted by *farsa* causing injury on head, further petitioner also assaulted his father by *farsa* causing injury on head, thereafter all accused assaulted his brother and his wife who was pregnant.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case along with his family members. It is further submitted that petitioner is a young boy aged about 20 years and is a person with clean antecedent. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then this is the first offence of the petitioner and if petitioner is sent to judicial custody, his entire career would get jeopardized and chances are bright that he may come in contact with hardened criminals. It is also fairly submitted that injury suffered by the informant has been opined to be grievous, but then blow is not alleged to be repeated. It is also submitted that injury of the father of the informant is not on record. It is next submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/-



(Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Paharpur P.S. Case No. 146 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father namely Ashok Sah.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

10. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

