

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.2149 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-358 Year-2019 Thana- TEKARI District- Gaya

Ranjan Kumar Son of Ramdhyan Singh Village- Pura, Ps- Tikari, Dist- Gaya

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Smt. Shanti Devi Wife of Sanjay Kumar village- Guljana, Ps- tikari, Dist- Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant	:	Mr. Aryan Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sadanand Paswan, Spl.PP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ranjeet Choubey, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 16-07-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the appellant, learned Special Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State and learned counsel appearing for the informant/Respondent No. 2.

2. This appeal has been filed for setting aside order dated 06.03.2025 passed in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 323, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3(1)(r)(s) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, whereby the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail of this appellant has been rejected.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that all the



F.I.R. named accused persons, including this appellant, abused informant with caste name.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant that appellant is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Appellant happens to be *Mukhiyan* and has falsely been implicated in this case due to political rivalry. Allegation of abuse is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been levelled against this appellant. It is lastly submitted that it is not the case of the prosecution that any member of public was present at the place of occurrence and as such, no case under the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act is made out against this appellant. Appellant claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned Spl. P.P. appearing for the respondent-State and learned counsel appearing for the informant/Respondent No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the appellant.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the appellant, this appeal is allowed and the impugned order dated 06.03.2025 passed by the learned Exclusive Special Judge, SC/ST (POA) Act, Gaya in connection



with A.B.P. No. 43 of 2025 arising out of Tekari P.S. Case No. 358 of 2019 is hereby set aside with respect to this appellant only.

7. Accordingly, let the appellant, named above, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge, SC/ST (POA) Act, Gaya in connection with Tekari P.S. Case No. 358 of 2019 .

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

