

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39106 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-116 Year-2026 Thana- SAHARSA SADAR District- Saharsa

Chotelal Tanti @ Chhotelal Tanti S/O Late Ram Jatan Tanti Resident of
Mohalla- Sarahi Ward No. 39, P.S- Saharsa Sadar, District- Saharsa, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarnath Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Naryan Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2026 Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Saharsa Sadar P.S. Case No.116 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 329(3), 329(4), 191(2), 190, 191(3), 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2) and 76 of the BNS.

3. On account of a dispute that arose during the course of a Panchayati, all the named accused persons, including the petitioner, allegedly assaulted the informant and other members of his family. There is a specific allegation against this petitioner of causing assault to the wife of the informant, due to which she sustained head injury, besides the allegation of snatching of a golden chain worth Rs.25,000/-.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner, submitted that



the dispute has arisen on account of a previous land dispute between the parties, which resulted into some free fight and unfortunate injury. Moreover, the injury which is allegedly sustained to the informant's wife is concerned, the same is found to be simple in nature. To support the aforesaid contention, the injury report of injured Poonam Devi has been brought on record. It is further contended that others have also sustained simple injury. The long-standing animosity between the parties is also evident from the fact that two cases have also been instituted by the informant and others against the persons of the petitioner's side. The petitioner, though bears one criminal antecedent, however, he is on bail in the said case.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the pre-arrest bail of the petitioner and submitted that because of the assault caused by the petitioner, the informant's wife has sustained serious injury and the petitioner has also snatched the valuables.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the genesis of the occurrence, coupled with the long-standing dispute, as also the simple nature of injury upon the informant's wife and others, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the



event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saharsa in connection with Saharsa Sadar P.S. Case No.116 of 2026, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, with further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

