

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37666 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-530 Year-2025 Thana- MASHRAK District- Saran

-
1. Manawati Devi @ Manti Devi S/o Dinesh Kumar Ray @ Dinesh Ray R/o Village - Gandaman, P.O. - Serukaha, P.S. - Mashrak, Dist. - Saran at Chapra.
 2. Dinesh Kumar Ray @ Dinesh Ray NA R/o Village - Gandaman, P.O. - Serukaha, P.S. - Mashrak, Dist. - Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rananjay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-06-2026 Heard the parties.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he inadvertently failed to mention the name of father of petitioner no. 2 namely, Dinesh Kumar Ray @ Dinesh Ray, therefore, he be permitted to mention the name of the father of petitioner no. 2, in course of the day.

3. Permission granted.

4. The petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and apprehending their arrest in connection with Masharak P.S. Case No. 530 of 2025 registered for the offences



punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 74, 352, 351(2)(3), 35 of BNS.

5. The allegation against petitioners is to assault and outrage the modesty of the informant who are admittedly agnets. The occurrence alleged to be taken place due to land dispute.

6. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that the occurrence was basically free fight in nature in the background of land disputes between the two ladies who are none but the agnets. It is pointed out that it was a normal scuffle which can be gather safely from the medical report of the informant, which only suggest that there was no visible injury and it is a case of generalized body ache, the nature of injury was opined as simple in nature. It is submitted that for same set of occurrence petitioner's side also lodged a separate case against the informant which was registered as Masharak P.S. Case No. 529 of 2025, earlier to this case. Petitioners claimed clean



antecedent.

7. Learned APP opposes the prayer of bail.

8. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of nature of accusation and also the injury alleged to be caused by the petitioners, which upon medical examination found simple in nature, accordingly both above-named petitioners, who are wife and husband, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks, are directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM VII, Saran at Chhapra /concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Masharak P.S. Case No. 530 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

